ないころのなどを確認されていませんだっています。

The state of the s

78

Living K.1.
Metro B.1
Obituaries B.6
Sports D.1
Style H.1.
Travel G.1 Amusements E1 Books E Sect Classified D15 Clustified E1 F 1 Grandlal F 1 Gardens K 1

298 Pages 17 Sections

Detailed Index, Page A2

Higher beyond Metropolitan area See Box A2

1975

67

SUNDAY; JUNE

"This one does embarrass me..." WILLIAM E. COLBY

And the command line basically reported what it heard down through the regular hierarchy, what do you know, what do you know, what do you know, what do you know, and given to the inspector general. "In addition," Colby said, "few employees went to the inspector general with something they remembered. But this spector general didn't go out and look through every file drawer in the place or anything like that."

The report included a section on as- a sassination plots and schemes. Other to portions were justs a reheals of old in c spector general reports that CIA offi- if class pulled out of their desks, appar benty including information on testing a LSD on unsuspecting subjects, part of a controversial program that lasted as controversial program that lasted as controversial program that lasted as controversial and controversial and control as controversial and control as controversial as controversial and control as controversial as control as controversial as control as

a controversial program that lasted from 1933 to 1963.
The White House was no! informed, but not, by Colby's account, because of any preoccupation with the Watergate

wrote his May 9, 1973, memo, President Nixon nominated him to become Secretary of Defense, and Colby, who was then CIA deputy director for covert operations, was named to take over the spy agency.

the stools—of Schlesinger's leaving and my taking over 1 imagine he thought maybe I was going to take care of the National Scentity Council (the White House agency which is supposed to supervise the CIA) and I imagine that I thought he was." "This one does embarrass me a bit," Colby said of the failure to notify the White House. "I think what happened, quite frankly, is that it fell between

The Justice Department also was kept in the dark by vitue of a long, standing agreement, disclosed and de-inunced by the Rockerbilgr commis-

See COLBY, A5, Col. 1

stains "Missteps" of DV EXI

The seeds were planted on May 9, 1772; when then-ClA Director James R. Schlesinger sent a memorandum to all employees calling for immediate reports on any questionable activities,

The result, Colby agreed, was a rush job that could not even be called a genuine investigation. The CLA inspector general's office, which handled the assignment, submitted a report just 11. days later, on May 21, 1973.

"It was an accumulation rather than an investigation, if you get the distinction," Colby said. "In other tworks the Called as a submitted of the called and the called as a submitted of the called as a submitted of the called as a submitted of the called of t words, the Schlesinger memo went to all employees. Well, the first employ-ees it went to was the command line.

8 61973, The Washington Post Co.

The CIA director discussed these past or present, that they might know An matters in an hour-long interest in a hour-long interest in a hour-long interest being distance and and the masters Friday, coupling carned of from the Watergate scandal. The 1971 when concern about the hazards of unaceus from the Watergate scandal. The 1971 when concern about the hazards of unaceus from the Watergate scandal. The 1971 when concern about the hazards of unaceus from the with CIA technical assistance in Colby's view, there has been too said he intended to do all he could 'to will be insisted, has served the country far is within a strict interpretation of its plegislative charter."

even he had no clear idea of the abuses lunking in its past until the investiga-tion by the Rockefeller, commission was completed this month. Even more sweeping congressional inquiries lie ahead. But Colby acknowledged, too, that

The Weather

Joday—Sumy, high near 90, low agair 70. The chance of precipitation and near 20. Iow accterday's temperature range was exterday's temperature range was generally be the properties of the properties Colby said he recognizes that he should have reported the missions to the Justice Department, that the old seandards which made the CIA virtu-

Colby Explains CIA 'Missteps

crime had been committed by its emp ployees or agents and whether security considerations precluded prosecution even when a crime had taken place.

Organized in January with the inspector general's 1973 report as one of its basic primers, the commission condidded this month that the CIA had engaged in "plainly unlawful" conduct 11-from burglary through bugging to the LSD testing and other activities. But Colby indicated that he never even contemplated going to the Justice Department at the time.

"In retrospect, I would say yes, I should have," the 55-year-old Colby acknowledged. "No question about it, we Ishould have done it."

Colby said he first reached that conclusion "sometime in December"which was the month that The New York Times disclosed some of the acstivities recounted in the 1973 report. The CIA director said he realized that month that "I do have an obligation to actually carry down to the Department of Justice and let them make the decision as to whether anything should be "prosecuted or not."

After conferring with Schlesinger, /. who in a sense did direct me" to go to Capitol Hill, Colby said he briefed both Rep. Lucien Nedzi (D-Mich.) and Sen. John C. Stennis (D-Miss.), the chairmen of the Senate and House subcommittees in charge of im-in late May, 1973, on the agency's improprieties. But clearly, Colby agrees now, "that isn't enough."

Now chairman of the special House committee investigating the CIA, Nedzi, who has recently come under fire for taking no action two years ago, "asked a lot of additional questions," Colby recalled, but was apparently satassled with the answers he got and did not inform his colleagues. 👈

Colby did not characterize Stennis' reaction, but he has long been a stolid defender of the CIA. Apparently both the and Nedzi accepted Colby's assurances that corrective action would be laken.

No follow-up investigation was conducted, including within the CIA, to determine whether any of the activity ties warranted prosecution or to find Repeatedly, Colby emphasized that his mind was on the future, on making ure they didn't happen again.

He said he issued "specific instruc-

Lions with respect to each of the cate. spector general's report" on Aug. 29, 1 1973, banning some, laying down strict rules for others and declaring still others permissible.

Concerning the CIA's "following of people around in America," Colby said, for example, he "issued a directive saying 'you won't do that any more' I

frankly didn't care at that point whether it was 20 cases or 40 cases. The sion, to let the CIA decide whether a fact was there weren't going to be any more.",

> The Rockefeller commission found more instances of burglary, bugging, and other misdeeds than he was aware of. Colby indicated. Another reason for the escalating statistics, he said, was the fact that he agreed with the commission at the outset that the CIA would not interview former employees to avoid any suggestion that the agency was trying to influence their

> Consequently, Colby said, "the commission knows more than. do . . . There's a couple of cases, a couple of incidents mentioned [in the commission report] that I didn't know about. I don't challenge the fact that they happened. But they're not in our 1.5. records.'

'I think any less dedicated group of people would have all flown away long ago '

The commission also said in its report that some CIA records had been ordered destroyed in 1973, including 152 separate files on the drug-testing program.

Colby said he had various documents destroyed, and indicated that the drugtesting records were among them.

"We had files around here we shouldn't own, some of these surveillance things and stuff like that," he said, "and I had directed, 'let's get rid of that stuff,' in 1973." Colby recalled that former CIA Director Richard M. Helms took a similar step with tapes he had on leaving the agency in January, 1973.

"He [Helms] said it didn't have anything to do with Watergate, [that] he was just getting rid of all this junk people collect, you know," Colby said.

Asked whether, he now felt that the documents he ordered destroyed should have been sent to the Justice Department in 1973 along with the inspector general's findings, Colby paused and said softly, "I guess, maybe I don't know." Then he added served by it in the future, even that not all should have gone to Just In any case, Colby said with a grin, rather filmsy, but other documents, he he plans to "tear up" a lot more files rather flimsy, but other documents, he agreed, probably should have been sent over

The Justice Department is studying the evidence compiled by the Rockefeller commission, concerning both domestic spying and CIA involvement in assassination plots, to determine

whether any prosecutions should be undertaken.

Colby said he was confident that no CIA employees will be indicted because, he said, he fells, they were acting under the belief that whatever they did, while perhaps "technically" illegal, was permissible "in the course of their duties."

Among Colby's August, 1973, directives was an order that the "CIA will not engage in assassination nor induce, assist or suggest to others that assassination be employed," but he said an earlier ban had been issued by Helms in March, 1972, three months before the Watergate break-in.

kili

Chi

sai

car

the

api

bu

ne

ot

th

RI

da

wl

ne

to:

fc

th

Asked what prompted the Helms edict, Colby said it was issued because of the heavy amount of publicity stemming from Colby's 1971 congressional testimony on Operation Phoenix in South Vietnam, which critics charged relied heavily on torture and assassination.

The 1972 directive, Colby said, was written "just to make clear what his [Helms] policy and my policy were . . . to clarify the records so that it's clear what our policy was."

The Helms order was not widely disseminated, however. Neither the White House, nor congressional overseers were told about it at the time, Colby said. Even the CIA's general counsel in 1972, Lawrence Houston, who is now retired, said he never heard of it until it was publicly disclosed several days ago..

Voicing high praise for the CIA and its employees despite the current furor, Colby said he has no idea when the investigations will end, but made plain that he hopes they will close down as quickly as possible.

"I think any less dedicated group of people would have all flown away long ago, but this is an enormously highly motivated, dedicated, talented group/of people," Colby said. "Our in-telligence is the best in the world."

Unquestionably, Colby said, the CIA made mistakes, but he called this the result of an old tradition that its work was not supposed to be talked about, a climate that no longer exists.

"If you let any large organization operate without controls and without supervision, it will get in some trouble," Colby said, but even so, he said, 'the country's been well served by this agency and I think it will be well

as soon as investigators are done with

Have a bonfire? he was asked.

'Damn right," the CIA director said. pointing out the windows to the closely 219-acre site. "Right out there."

Approved For Release 2002/01/02: CIA-RDP77M00144R000800070047-9

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
Approved For Release 2	002/01/02 : CIA-RDP77	M00144B000800070047-9
EVECTION	WE SECRETARIAT	020

Routing Slip

o: [ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	1	DCI				
T	2	DDCI				
	3	S/MC				
	4	DDS&T				
6 7 8 9 10 12 13 14	5	DDI				
	6	DDA				
	7	DDO				
	8	D/DCI/IC				
	9	D/DCI/NI	0			
	10	GC				
	13	LC				
	12	1G				
	13	Compt	*			
	14	D/Pers				
	15	D/S				<u> </u>
	16	DTR				<u> </u>
	17	Asst/DCI		. *		<u> </u>
	18	AO/DCI				
	19					
	20					-
L	21					
	22					<u> </u>
		SUSPENS	E	Date		

Remarks:

25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/01/02: CIA-RDP77M00144R000800070047-9

MAJORITY MEMBERS JACK BROOKS, TEX., CHAIRMAN
L. H., FOUNTAIN, N.C.
JOHN E. MOSS, CALIF.
DANTE B. FASCELL, FLA.
TORBERT H. MACDONALD, MASS.
WILLIAM S. MOORHEAD, PA.
WM. J. RANDALL, MO.
BENJAMIN S. ROSENTHAL, N.Y.
JIM WRIGHT, TEX.
FERNAND J. ST GERMAIN, R.1.
FLOYD V. HICKS, WASH.
DON FUQUA, FLA.
JOHN CONYERS, JR., MICH.
BELLA S. ABZUG, N.Y.
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
LEO J. RYAN, CALIF.
CARDISS COLLINS, ILL.
JOHN L. BURTON, CALIF.
RICHARDSON PREYER, N.C.
MICHAEL HARRINGTON, MASS.
ROBERT DRIVAN, MASS. JACK BROOKS, TEX., CHAIRMAN MICHAEL HARRINGTON, MASROBERT DRINAN, MASEDWARD MEZVINSKY, IOWA
BARBARA JORDAN, TEX.
GLENNE RNGLISH, OKLA.
ELLIOTT H. LEUITAS, GA.
DAVID W. EVANS, IND.
ANTHONY MOFFETT, CONN.
ANDREW MAGUIRE, N.J.
LES ASPIN, WIS.

NINETY-FOURTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS 2157 Rapburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

March 1, 1976

MINORITY MEMBERS

MINORITY MEMBERS
FRANK HORTON, N.Y.
JOHN N. ERLENBORN, ILL.
JOHN W. WYDLER, N.Y.
CLARENCE J. BROWN, DHIO
GILBERT GUDE, MD.
PAUL N. MC.C. "SKEY, JR., CALIF.
SAM STEIGET BIZ.
GARRY BROWN MICH.
CHARLES THOME, NEBR.
ALAN STEELMAN, TEX.
JOEL PRITCHARD, WASH.
EDWIN B. FORSYTHE, N.J.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.
WILLIS D. GRADISON, JR., OHIO

MAJORITY-225-5051 MINORITY-225-5074

Executive Registry

Honorable George Bush Director Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D. C.

> The committee herewith submits to you the enclosed bill, H.R. 12039 upon which the committee would appreciate a prompt report, together with such comment as you may desire to make.

Will you kindly transmit your reply in triplicate.

Respectfully,

Chairman.

Enclosure.

Approved For Release 2002/01/02: CIA-RDP77M00144R000800070047-9

Consumer Total

Wrong Addresses Keep Thousands From Getting Tax Refunds By IRVIN MOLOTSKY B. Westichester, County res-

By IRVIN MOLOTSKY

Most Americans met the April 18 deadline for filing Federal income tax returns, but if past experience is any indication, thousands o' of people who are entitled to refunds won't get them.

"The refunds are usually undeliverable because the wrong address is on the forms," said a spokesman for the Internal Revenue Service district office in Brooklyn Jyn, which takes in Brooklyn, Queens and Nassau and Suf-folk Counties.

However, he was at a loss to guess why there were so many incorrect addresses in his district. At a recent count, 2,086 checks representing last year's refunds and destined for Queens indi-viduals and 3,273 for people virtuals and 3,2/3 for people in Brooklyn were returned to the government as undeliverable. Their face value was just a bit under \$1 million.

Nassau County 372 checks were undeliverable and in Suffolk there were 353. Together, they had a face value of \$165,000.

Milton A. Waldman, a spokesman for the Manhattan district, said, "Returned checks are almost traditional. The number may vary, but it happens every year."

Here are recent figures for returned checks in the Manhattan district: 4,265 checks in Manhattan and 2,131 in the Bronx with a combined face value of nearly \$1.5 million; 110 checks sent to Staten Islanders with a value \$33,000 sent to Rockland County residents and 426 checks worth \$119,000 sent

warden in binem tall in tone

A similar situation had exsited in New Jersey until a sizable dent was made in the backlog because that state has many medium-sized state has many medium-sized newspapers that serve limited areas, and the Internal Revenue Service has found that many people respond when local papers carry lists of taxpayers in their communities entitled to refunds. nities entitled to refunds.

A recent compilation showed that 900 checks destined for Essex County residents and worth \$8,113 had been returned undelivered, as were 825 checks worth as were 822 cheeks worth \$5,433 in Passaic, Bergen and Morris Counties combined, 700 worth \$2,862 in Hudson, 170 worth \$1,585 in Union and 120 worth \$2,863 in Monmouth.

For the country as a whole, as of last summer, 89,000 checks worth \$25 million had been returned to the Government as undeliverable. People who think they should have received refunds last year but did not should call the Internal Revenue Service in their areas.

Those who have moved since filing can call the office with jurisdiction for their ok addresses without charge by using the list of toll-free numbers available in I.R.S pamphlets, including Publi cation 17, "Your Federal In come Tax."